

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

Barren Mar Thuna,

Plaintiff

V.

Philip J. Kohn, et al.,

## Defendants

2:17-cv-02395-JAD-VCF

## Order Re: Objection

[ECF No. 13]

Pro se plaintiff Barren Mar Thuna sued the Clark County Public Defender, multiple public defenders, and a justice court judge for allegedly violating his First, Fifth, Sixth, and Eighth Amendment rights.<sup>1</sup> On October 23, 2017, Magistrate Judge Cam Ferenbach heard Thuna's complaint, and he recommended that the court dismiss counts 1–3 against the justice court judge only and count 4 against all defendants.<sup>2</sup> The court received no objections, and on November 15, 2017, adopted that recommendation and dismissed those claims.<sup>3</sup>

Thuna has filed an “objection” to that dismissal order, explaining that he did file an objection but it must have been a casualty of “questionable” mail delivery at his detention facility.<sup>4</sup> He asks for the opportunity to file objections.

I construe his “objection” [ECF No. 13] as a motion for reconsideration, and—good cause appearing—I grant that motion. Thuna has until December 29, 2017, to file a renewed motion for reconsideration that includes all the points and authorities that he offered in his never-received objection. The court will then reconsider the magistrate judge’s report and

<sup>1</sup> ECF No. 1-1.

2 ECF No. 7.

<sup>3</sup> ECF No. 12

4 ECF No. 13

1 recommendation de novo in light of Thuna's arguments.

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the "objection," liberally construed as a  
3 motion for reconsideration, **[ECF No. 13] is GRANTED**. Thuna has until December 29, 2017,  
4 to file a renewed motion for reconsideration that includes the arguments raised in his original,  
5 never-received objection.

6 DATED: December 4, 2017.

7   
8 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28